

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/783,907 02/20/2004		Danny D. Mahoney	4355	7144	
7590 02/08/2005			EXAMINER		
Harris Zimme	rman		SANTOS, R	OBERT G	
Law Offices of	Harris Zimmerman				
Suite 710			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1330 Broadway			3673		
Oakland CA					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					A /		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/783,90	7	MAHONEY, DANI	NY D. \		
		Examiner		Art Unit	1		
		Robert G.	Santos	3673			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 (S) (S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper population of the provision of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period une to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no even by within the statu will apply and will e, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be ting story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timel the mailing date of this co			
Status							
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 N	November 20	004.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 5-7 and 12-17 is/are pending in the a	application.					
.—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>5-7,12 and 14-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.		•				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election re	equirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
• · · · · -	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
·	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).		
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the certified copies of the priority document copies of the pr	its have bee	n received. n received in Applicat	ion No	Stage		
	application from the International Burea	•		eu iii una Nauuflal	Olay c		
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	` ''	ed.			
				,			
Attachmer	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	rate Patent Application (PT(O-152)		
	er No(s)/Mail Date	•	6) Other:	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/783,907 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 14 and 15 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - 1) In the first line of claim 14: The number "8" should be deleted.
 - 2) In the first line of claim 15: The number "15" should be changed to --5--.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 14, 16 and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Lee '715 (note especially Figures 5 & 7 and column 2, lines 4-7).
- 4. Claims 12, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Gabriel et al. '923 (note especially Figure 4 and column 2, lines 22-26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3673

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 5-7 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee '715 in view of Agulnick '611, or alternatively, as being unpatentable over Gabriel et al. '923 in view of Agulnick '611. Lee '715 and Gabriel et al. '923 both disclose the use of a transparent plastic sheet material but do not specifically disclose the use of a transparent sheet material formed from polyvinyl chloride. Agulnick '611 provides the basic teaching of an inflatable mattress (10) comprising a pair of transparent vinyl sheets (12, 14) (see also column 2, lines 3-5). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to provide the respective systems of Lee '715 and Gabriel et al. '923 with transparent sheet material formed from polyvinyl chloride since the use of this type of material in the manufacture of inflatable mattresses is well known in the art as taught by Agulnick '611.

With regards to claims 7 and 15, Lee '715 as modified by Agulnick '611, or alternatively, Gabriel et al. '923 as modified by Agulnick '611, both do not specifically disclose the specific range of transparent sheet material thicknesses as claimed by Applicant. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the respective systems of Lee '715 as modified by Agulnick '611 and of Gabriel et al. '923 as modified by Agulnick '611 with transparent sheet material having a thickness "ranging between 4 mils to 50 mils", since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Downey '437.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/783,907

Art Unit: 3673

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 5

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (703) 308-7469.

The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Heather C. Shackelford can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert G. Santos

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3673

R.S.

February 3, 2005